

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE .	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/828,898	04/10/2001	Teuvo Maunula	003277-021	7202
7	590 09/18/2002			
Ronald L. Grudziecki BURNS, DOANE, SWECKER & MATHIS, L.L.P. P.O. Box 1404 Alexandria, VA 22313-1404			EXAMINER	
			NGUYEN, CAM N	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1754	6
			DATE MAILED: 09/18/2002	2

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.





Office Action Summary

Application No. 09/828,898

Applicant(s)

Examiner

Cam Nguyen

Art Unit 1754

Maunula

	The MAILING DATE of this communication appears	on the cover sheet with the correspondence address			
	for Reply				
THE N	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. ions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136 (a). In r	TO EXPIRE MONTH(S) FROM no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the			
- If the p - If NO p - Failure - Any re	date of this communication. beriod for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the beriod for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply at to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the ply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of the patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. ne application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status	,				
1) 💢	Responsive to communication(s) filed on Apr 10, 20	001 .			
2a) 🗌	This action is FINAL . 2b) ☑ This acti	ion is non-final.			
3) 🗆	Since this application is in condition for allowance e closed in accordance with the practice under Ex par	except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is re Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11; 453 O.G. 213.			
Disposit	tion of Claims				
4) 💢	Claim(s) 1-34	is/are pending in the application.			
4	a) Of the above, claim(s)	is/are withdrawn from consideration.			
5) 🗆	Claim(s)	is/are allowed.			
	Claim(s)				
7) 🗆	Claim(s)	is/are objected to.			
8) 💢	Claims <u>1-34</u>	are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.			
	tion Papers				
9) 🗆	The specification is objected to by the Examiner.				
10)	The drawing(s) filed on is/are	a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.			
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the di	rawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).			
11)	11)□ The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a)□ approved b)□ disapproved by the Examine				
	If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to	to this Office action.			
12)	The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examin	ner.			
Priority	under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120				
13)	Acknowledgement is made of a claim for foreign pr	riority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).			
a) □] All b)□ Some* c)□ None of:				
	1. \square Certified copies of the priority documents have	e been received.			
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No					
	3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority do application from the International Bures	au (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).			
	ee the attached detailed Office action for a list of the				
. –	Acknowledgement is made of a claim for domestic				
a) ∟ 15) 🗀	The translation of the foreign language provisional Acknowledgement is made of a claim for domestic				
Attachm		priority under 33 0.3.C. 33 120 and/or 121.			
_	tice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s).			
2) No	tice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)			
3) 🔲 Inf	ormation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s).	6) Other:			

Application/Control Number: 09/828,898 Page 2

Art Unit: 1754

Election/Restriction

1. Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:

- I. Claims 1-16 & 19-25, drawn to an adsorbent catalyst, classified in class 502,
 subclass 302+.
- II. Claims 17-18, drawn to a catalyst system comprising the absorbent catalyst and a second catalyst, wherein the second catalyst positioned infront of the adsorbent catalyst, classified in class 422, subclass 171+.
- III. Claims 26-34, drawn to a method of treating exhaust gas using an adsorbent catalyst or a catalyst system, classified in class 423, subclass 212+.

The inventions are distinct, each from the other because:

2. Inventions I and II are related as mutually exclusive species in an intermediate-final product relationship. Distinctness is proven for claims in this relationship if the intermediate product is useful to make other than the final product (MPEP § 806.04(b), 3rd paragraph), and the species are patentably distinct (MPEP § 806.04(h)). In the instant case, the intermediate product is deemed to be useful as a catalytic material or a membrane in the separation of gases or air and the inventions are deemed patentably distinct since there is nothing on this record to show them to be obvious variants. Should applicant traverse on the ground that the species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In

.

Application/Control Number: 09/828,898

Art Unit: 1754

either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions anticipated by the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) of the other invention.

Page 3

- 3. Inventions I and III are related as product and process of use. The inventions can be shown to be distinct if either or both of the following can be shown: (1) the process for using the product as claimed can be practiced with another materially different product or (2) the product as claimed can be used in a materially different process of using that product (MPEP § 806.05(h)). In the instant case, the product as claimed can be used in a materially different process of using that product, such as in the hydrocarbon conversion processing.
- 4. Inventions II and III are related as process and apparatus (or catalyst system) for its practice. The inventions are distinct if it can be shown that either: (1) the process as claimed can be practiced by another materially different apparatus or by hand, or (2) the apparatus (or catalyst system) as claimed can be used to practice another and materially different process. (MPEP § 806.05(e)). In this case, the apparatus (or catalyst system) as claimed can be used to practice another and materially different process, such as in the process of hydrocarbon conversion of olefins.
- Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and the search required 5. for Group I is not required for Group II, III, etc. and have acquired a separate status in the art as shown by their different classification, and because of their recognized divergent subject matter, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.
- 6. A telephone call was made to Mr. Scott W. Cummings on 9/10/02 to request an oral election to the above restriction requirement, but did not result in an election being made

Application/Control Number: 09/828,898

Art Unit: 1754

7. Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include an

election of the invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37

CFR 1.143).

8. Applicant is reminded that upon the cancellation of claims to a non-elected invention, the

inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 CFR 1.48(b) if one or more of the currently

named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim remaining in the application. Any

amendment of inventorship must be accompanied by a petition under 37 CFR 1.48(b) and by the

fee required under 37 CFR 1.17(I).

9. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner

should be directed to Examiner Cam Nguyen, whose telephone number is (703) 305-3923. The

examiner can normally be reached on M-F from 8:30 am. to 6:00 pm, with alternative Monday

off.

Nguyen/cnn (A)

September 10, 2002

STEVEN BOS PRIMARY FXAMINFI

CRAHE STAR

Page 4